

MEETING OF THE TOWN BOARD  
TOWN OF GLENVILLE  
MAY 19, 2021  
AT THE GLENVILLE MUNICIPAL CENTER  
18 GLENRIDGE ROAD, GLENVILLE, NEW YORK

Supervisor Koetzle called the meeting to order at 7:00 PM;

Supervisor Koetzle asked the Town Clerk, Linda C. Neals, to call the roll.

**Present:** Supervisor Christopher A. Koetzle, Councilmen James M. Martin, Michael Aragosa, Michael R. Godlewski and Councilwoman Gina M. Wierzbowski

**Absent:** None

Also, present were Earl T. Redding, Attorney for the Town, Tom Coppola, Highway Superintendent/Commissioner of Public Works and Melissa Cherubino, Director of Community Development

Supervisor Koetzle – “We have two (2) changes on the agenda. We will be pulling Item #14 which is the proposed local law #11-2021 designating parcel 66 Freemans Bridge Road as a Commercial Planned Development District, it is not quite ready. We still have a little bit more work but minor and technical in nature. We are adding a resolution accepting the bid from Empire Paving of Schenectady regarding the Glen Oaks neighborhood and then we will be rejecting the second location due to budget restraints.”

Town Council Reports:

Councilman Godlewski – “Today felt a little different with New York adopting some of the CDC recommendations that came out this weekend. It seems like the beginning of the allowance for people who have been vaccinated to go back out in public without a mask on. If you are going out to a business or different place I think it is an ever-evolving area presently so maybe check out what their police is regarding wearing a mask. If you have not been vaccinated please consider doing so.”

Councilwoman Wierzbowski – “I would like to take a moment and publicly recognize Scotia Fire Department and the eighteen (18) mutual aid companies that responded to a large fire on Sunnyside Road on Sunday night. It was an enormous undertaking. It was a log storage facility that caught on fire. They were there through the night. It just gives testament to the town’s fire departments and to our surrounding communities the commitment and dedication that they have keeping residents and properties safe. I just wanted to publicly recognize them and thank everyone for their efforts.”

Councilman Martin – “I also welcome the fact that those who are vaccinated and eligible to go without a mask. It is good to have our civil liberties back and I also join him in encouraging everybody if you have not been vaccinated and if you can find it within your value system to do so I encourage you to do that.”

Councilman Aragosa – “I am going to give a plug out to the Crossroad Center for Children’s Annual Golf Tournament, Monday, May 24<sup>th</sup> at the Edison Club.”

Supervisor Koetzle – “Item No. 5 on the agenda is a public hearing to hear all persons interested in a proposed Planned Development District (PDD) at 66 Freemans Bridge Road.”

Supervisor Koetzle opened the public hearing at 7:05 PM

Harvey Williams, 113 Oakwood Drive – “I have been a resident of Glenville since 1994. I love it here and I love the way this town runs, it’s conservative and fiscally responsible and I think the laws of the zoning makes sense. I think a lot of people like the

rural character of the town. I am certainly not opposed to renewal energy development. I worked at the General Electric Company for twenty (20) years. A large portion of that is a wind business, building wind turbine parts all over the country.

The question I have is more fundamental and that simply is this. We have a landowner who has an idea for a project on his property that does not conform to the town's zoning requirements. He has put a proposal together that says I want to do this here and we approve this. What are we going to do when the next guy says I want to do this here and I want to do this there and I just would like to understand where do we sort of end this thing when a lot of people who have tough pieces of property and they want to do something with it but it is not in the zoning? So, they appeal to the town, whether it is on the green energy side, the good idea side, whether it is trendy, whether it's popular whatever it is where do you stop. What is the judgement process that says I'm going to approve Project A but I am not going to approve Project B.

That's what I want to understand. I love living here in this town and I love the way this town has been developed, continues to maintain the development in an orderly and conservative fashion. This just gives rise of concern to me."

No one else wished to speak; Supervisor Koetzle closed the public hearing at 7:10 PM.

Supervisor Koetzle – "Mr. Williams, just to respond to some of that because I think it is important. You are right, I think you bring up a good question, it's a fair question and it's a good assessment. I think in this particular case, and we have used PDD's before to do some things that weren't allowed in zoning but it might have made sense. When you think about zoning you think about the mackerel it's over a large swath of properties and it looks at a better picture but sometimes there is a piece in there maybe that's a little bit unique or a little bit different or hard to develop property within that larger zone. You want to look at that and you want to say maybe this makes sense here but it may not make sense in the entire zone so you use a PDD as a tool that allows you to have some flexibility in that. In this particular PDD I think what we did is approached it very conservatively and said, okay it's very difficult, there are wetlands in the back, it opens up into a back area and there is only one hundred feet of frontage so getting something built in the back is probably very unlikely. It has a long history of being vacant and not being developed so let's look at what is possible. In talking to the landowner, talking to the developer there's an economics problem there if you want to do retail on a one-hundred-foot frontage and you have all the acreage in the back that isn't useable, this is an opportunity for us to leverage the economics of this project to make that a possibility, to make that redevelopment in the front a possibility. So, the town sees a benefit in that. Zoning you can't negotiate, by right when you come in it is what it is. A PDD we are able to negotiate then for things that make more sense for the town then it does for anyone else and that is things like you have to make it shovel ready up front, all that blight has to be cleaned up and that site has to be shovel ready, which will further help the development of it. We put a bond in place if they don't develop it within three (3) years we cash the bond and there is a penalty for not going forward with it. There is a public benefit fee involved, there is \$170,000 coming to our park fund for the loss of green space so there is a public benefit to the town.

These are all the factors that go to us deciding if this makes sense or not."

Councilman Martin – "I think it is important to recognize when you are talking about a planned development district or a planned unit development, this is planned to be a flexible planning development tool for property owners or developers to utilize and to have access to. It is a tool inherently requires us as board members... we have to look at these on a case-by-case basis under individual merits. It is not to say that everybody who applies for a planned development district gets one. I have been on this board when we didn't even allow a proposed development district to get off the ground. We passed a resolution very early in the process saying "no". These applications come in and they are rightly viewed, at least from my perspective, under individual merits. I look at the attributes, the liabilities, the negatives and if the attributes to the town, to the residents, to our comprehensive plan if that out weighs the liabilities then that is something that is

worthy of consideration and that is the case with this. This is a piece of property with a lot of challenges to it. It's not exactly conducive to a lot of forms of development. This is one that is appropriate."

Earl T. Redding, Attorney – "From a legal standpoint every decision of the Town Board is subject to a challenge. Whether or not the Town Board makes a decision to do something or not to do something. Each decision has careful thought out, my experience, planning why we are doing something or why we are not doing something. It could lead to ... if you do one thing for A and A2 comes along and you do something completely different you could face a challenge for that being arbitrary and capricious, some sort of lawful challenge. So, each is a case by case but you do have to look at what you have done in the past and why are you doing this differently. Is it because the facts are different, is it because the law is different, that sort of thing, but it is taken in to consideration each PDD and each resolution is taken into consideration of why we are doing what we are doing or not doing."

Supervisor Koetzle – "Item No. 6 on the agenda is a public hearing to hear all persons interested in the proposed zoning map amendment at 81 Freemans Bridge Road, as a Solar Overlay District."

Supervisor Koetzle opened the public hearing at 7:20 PM.

No one wished to speak; Supervisor Koetzle closed the public hearing at 7:21 PM.

Privilege of the Floor

Harvey Williams, 113 Oakwood Drive – Mr. Williams asked the Board to do something about the abandoned houses in Glenville. There are houses in his neighborhood that have been abandoned. He asked "what can we do about this?"

Supervisor Koetzle – "We are very much aware of it and I think we know each and everyone of them and we are on it. It is a process."

Tom Coppola, Commissioner of Public Works gave the Annual MS4 Report.

Supervisor Koetzle moved ahead with the agenda

### **RESOLUTION NO. 108-2021**

**Moved by:** Councilwoman Wierzbowski

**Seconded by:** Councilman Martin

**WHEREAS**, the Highway Superintendent, Thomas Coppola, is the Town of Glenville Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Stormwater Officer; and

**WHEREAS**, Superintendent Coppola presented the 2020-2021 annual MS4 draft report to the Town Board at its May 19<sup>th</sup> meeting; and

**WHEREAS**, the draft report documents numbers and types of MS4 inspections, stormwater complaints, SWPPPs reviewed, active construction site inspections, public education efforts and staff training as well as captures goals to meet for next year's annual report submission; and

**WHEREAS**, a final version of the draft report is to be submitted to both NYS Department of Conservation (NYSDEC) and US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) by June 2021; and

**WHEREAS**, in addition to presenting the draft report at a Town Board public meeting, a written copy was available in Town Hall as well as on the town website for public review and comment with no public comments received; and

**WHEREAS**, the Town Board reviews and approves such reports for accuracy, thoroughness and planning of future town MS4 activities;

**WHEREAS**, the Town's Economic Development and Planning Department, has prepared the report and finds that it meets the intent of the MS4 requirements; and

**WHEREAS**, the Town Board of the Town of Glenville has reviewed the report;

**NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that the Town Board of the Town of Glenville hereby approves the MS4 Annual Report for March 2020-March 2021; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the Town of Glenville approves the report.

**Ayes:** Councilmen Martin, Aragosa, Godlewski, Councilwoman Wierzbowski and Supervisor Koetzle

**Noes:** None

**Absent:** None

**Abstention:** None

**Motion Carried**

**RESOLUTION NO. 109-2021**

**Moved by:** Councilman Martin

**Seconded by:** Councilwoman Wierzbowski

**WHEREAS**, the Town of Glenville regularly replaces outdated equipment and vehicles for which it has no current use or expected future use; and

**WHEREAS**, the Town Comptroller has completed an inventory of Town vehicles and equipment and has identified several pieces which no longer serve any useful purpose for the Town;

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the vehicles and equipment listed below are hereby declared surplus, and the Town Comptroller is authorized to dispose of said vehicles and equipment in the most cost-effective manner possible:

- 1987 Ford Tractor, VIN ending in 4744
- 2010 Ford Crown Victoria, VIN ending in 8961
- 2010 Chevrolet Impala, VIN ending in 3615
- 1996 International Dump, VIN ending in 0449
- 2004 Ford Econoline, VIN ending in 1530
- 2004 Ford F350, VIN ending in 5214
- 1987 Delta Trailer, S/N ending in 4290
- 2003 MasterTow Trailer, S/N ending in 1617
- 1964 Cleaverbrook Steamer, S/N ending in PSM50
- Obsolete garage shop lights and air compressor
- Miscellaneous obsolete computers, printers, monitors, and telephone network equipment

**Ayes:** Councilmen Martin, Aragosa, Godlewski, Councilwoman Wierzbowski and Supervisor Koetzle

**Noes:** None

**Absent:** None

**Abstention:** None

**Motion Carried**

**RESOLUTION NO. 110-2021**

**Moved by:** Councilwoman Wierzbowski

**Seconded by:** Councilman Martin

**WHEREAS**, the Commissioner of Public Works advises that the Town requires the purchase of seven fire hydrants, including some for stock; and

**WHEREAS**, in accordance with the Town of Glenville Procurement Policy, the Commissioner of Public Works developed a specification sheet and obtained quotes from three vendors for the desired hydrants; and

**WHEREAS**, Ferguson Waterworks, 612 Pierce Road, Clifton Park, New York 12065 provided the lowest quote at a cost of \$2,549.50 per hydrant;

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Town Board of the Town of Glenville hereby authorizes payment of \$17,846.50 to Ferguson Waterworks for the purchase of seven fire hydrants with the expense charged to Water District #11 as included in the 2021 amended budget.

**Ayes:** Councilmen Martin, Aragosa, Godlewski, Councilwoman Wierzbowski and Supervisor Koetzle

**Noes:** None

**Absent:** None

**Abstentions:** None

**Motion Carried**

**RESOLUTION NO. 111-2021**

**Moved by:** Councilman Martin

**Seconded by:** Councilwoman Wierzbowski

**WHEREAS**, the Town of Glenville received a proposed Planned Development District requiring a zoning code amendment to create the 66 Freemans Bridge Commercial Planned Development District, the purpose of which is to create a commercial building on Freemans Bridge Road and a solar farm in the rear of the parcel; and

**WHEREAS**, the Town conducted numerous studies of the Freeman's Bridge (FBR) Corridor, including an FBR Master Plan, FBR Complete Streets Plan and FBR Revitalization Plan that the proposed PDD will implement; and

**WHEREAS**, the Economic Development and Planning Department submitted a Full Environmental Assessment Form (FEAF) Part I, II and III to determine whether the preservation activities could result in any significant environmental impacts under the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA); and

**WHEREAS**, the Town Board finds the project meets Type I standards described in 6 CRR-NY §617.4(b)(3) and (b)(6)(i) which necessitates closer scrutiny; and

**WHEREAS**, the Glenville Environmental Conservation Commission reviewed the documents as part of a coordinated review, making a provisional recommendation to declare a negative declaration to PZC and the Town Board; and

**WHEREAS**, the Town Board declares itself lead agency for SEQR purposes since involved agencies either relinquished the role or failed to respond during the requisite 30 day wait; and

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Town Board of the Town of Glenville met at the Glenville Municipal Center, 18 Glenridge Road, Glenville,

New York on Wednesday, May 19, 2020 at 7:00 P.M., and made the following findings with regard to SEQRA under TITLE 6. DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION, CHAPTER VI. GENERAL REGULATIONS:

1. The proposed zoning code amendment fits the character and existing zoning within the Freemans' Bridge Road corridor;
2. The zone will not interfere with federal wetlands which will require NPS 51 permit review on a case-by-case basis from the Army Corps of Engineers(ACOE);
3. NYSDOT access will be determined by DOT permit;
4. The final glare analysis resulted in minimal impact to the adjacent airport and Air National Guard operations; and
5. The proposed zoning code update will improve environmental considerations as it encourages compact redevelopment along already disturbed areas and a pedestrian scale commercial building which will improve the aesthetics of the corridor.

**Ayes:** Councilmen Martin, Aragosa, Godlewski, Councilwoman Wierzbowski and Supervisor Koetzle  
**Noes:** None  
**Absent:** None  
**Abstentions:** None

### **Motion Carried**

Supervisor Koetzle – "Item No. 14 was pulled for some technical clean-up. We anticipate seeing this at our next meeting."

### **RESOLUTION NO. 112-2021**

**Moved by:** Councilman Martin  
**Seconded by:** Councilwoman Wierzbowski

**WHEREAS**, the Town Board amended section 270-24.1 of the Code of the Town of Glenville to add a new sub-section D, "Solar Overlay District"; and

**WHEREAS**, the intent of this section is to accommodate large scale solar farms. However, in accommodating such activities, it is the intent that there be no appreciable degradation of the character of the surrounding neighborhoods in which these activities occur; and

**WHEREAS**, the overlay district is a floating zone permitted so long as the enumerated conditions are met (30 acre or more parcels located within a half mile of a substation); and

**WHEREAS**, the applicant has submitted drawings, a SEQR full environmental assessment form (FEAF), project narrative and application for approval of a solar facility at 81 Freemans Bridge Road; and

**WHEREAS**, the application is a Type I Action for SEQR purposes requiring a coordinated review under 6 CRR-NY §617.4b(3) and 6 CRR-NY §617.4(b)(6)(i); and

**WHEREAS**, the application, SEQR full environmental assessment form (FEAF), project narrative, site plan has all been forwarded to all interested and involved agencies, namely Schenectady County, NYSDEC, NYSDOT, USDOE and ACOE, the 30 days tolling on April 23rd with no other entity seeking lead agency nor disputing the Town Board's claim to lead agency status; and

**WHEREAS**, the Town Board declares itself lead agency for SEQR purposes since involved agencies either relinquished the role or failed to respond during

the requisite 30 day wait; and

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Town Board of the Town of Glenville met at the Glenville Municipal Center, 18 Glenridge Road, Glenville, New York on Wednesday, May 19, 2020 at 7:00 P.M., and made the following findings with regard to SEQRA under TITLE 6. DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION, CHAPTER VI. GENERAL REGULATIONS:

1. The proposed zoning code amendment fits the character and existing zoning within the solar overlay requirements;
2. The project will not interfere with federal wetlands which will require NPS 51 permit review on a case-by-case basis from the Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE);
3. The final glare analysis resulted in minimal impact to the adjacent airport and Air National Guard operations; and
4. The proposed location does not create any significant adverse visual impacts to surrounding uses due to proposed buffering of the proposal; and

**Ayes:** Councilmen Martin, Aragosa, Godlewski, Councilwoman Wierzbowski and Supervisor Koetzle  
**Noes:** None  
**Absent:** None  
**Abstention:** None

**Motion Carried**

**RESOLUTION NO. 113-2021**

**Moved by:** Councilman Martin  
**Seconded by:** Councilwoman Wierzbowski

**WHEREAS**, a Member of the Town Board of the Town of Glenville is introducing a Zoning Map amendment to designate parcel 81 Freemans Bridge Rd SBL#30.-1-24.411, as a Solar Overlay Zoning District in accordance with section 270-24.1D of the Zoning Code of the Town of Glenville; and

**WHEREAS**, the parcel is currently zoned Freemans Bridge Rd Corridor; and

**WHEREAS**, the proposal meets several of the Comprehensive Plan goals regarding commercial development and clean energy goals in the Town's commercial corridors; and

**WHEREAS**, the zoning map amendment is categorized as a Type I Action under section 617.4b(2) for a zoning change of 25 or more acres but will not result in any significant environmental impacts under the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA); and

**WHEREAS**, the proposed zoning map amendment was referred to the Glenville Environmental Conservation Commission (GECC) which

**WHEREAS**, the proposed zoning map amendment was referred to the Planning & Zoning Commission (PZC) for review and the PZC made a recommendation to approve the zoning change at its Monday May 10<sup>th</sup> 2021 meeting; and

**WHEREAS**, the PZC considered whether the zoning map amendment could result in any significant environmental impacts under the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA); and

**WHEREAS**, Economic Development and Planning provided the County of Schenectady Department of Economic Development and Planning with a referral

pursuant to General Municipal Law §239-m; and

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Town Board of the Town of Glenville hereby approves the proposed zoning map amendment for 81 Freemans Bridge Road, SBL #30.-1-24.4111 from “Freemans Bridge Road Corridor” to “Solar Overlay”; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the Town Board of the Town of Glenville in approving such zoning map amendment makes the following findings:

[a] The uses proposed will not be detrimental to surrounding uses, but will have a beneficial effect, which could not be more effectively achieved in another district.

[b] The proposal preserves vegetation on the parcel and the parcel is otherwise difficult to develop.

[c] Land surrounding the proposed development can be planned in coordination with the proposed development and that it be compatible in use.

[d] The proposed zoning change is in conformance with the general intent of this chapter and the Town of Glenville Comprehensive Plan.

[e] The proposed location does not create substantially adverse visual impacts to surrounding uses.

**Ayes:** Councilmen Martin, Aragosa, Godlewski, Councilwoman Wierzbowski and Supervisor Koetzle  
**Noes:** None  
**Absent:** None  
**Abstentions:** None

**Motion Carried**

**RESOLUTION NO. 114-2021**

**Moved by:** Councilwoman Wierzbowski  
**Seconded by:** Councilman Martin

**WHEREAS**, a Member of the Town Board of the Town of Glenville is introducing a Local Law to amend Chapter 255, “Vehicle and Traffic” of the Code of the Town of Glenville; and

**WHEREAS**, a duly scheduled public hearing must be held in order to amend the existing code by Local Law;

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Town Board of the Town of Glenville will meet at the Glenville Municipal Center, 18 Glenridge Road, Glenville, New York on Wednesday, June 16, 2021 at 7:00 P.M., or as soon thereafter as possible, at which time it will hear all persons interested in the proposed local law to amend Chapter 255, “Vehicle and Traffic” chapter of the Code of the Town of Glenville; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the Town Clerk be and she is hereby authorized and directed to prepare the proper public notice of said hearing in accordance with law, as follows:

**Section 255-8. – Speed limits**

B. A maximum speed limit of 35 miles per hour is hereby established within the Town of Glenville on the following county highways:

**Add:**

<b>Name of Street</b>	<b>Location</b>
Rector Road (Town Road)	From Ridge Road to New York State Route No. 5



I. A maximum park speed limit of 15 miles per hour is hereby established within the Town of Glenville on the following roadways in Town parks:

**Add:**

Name of Street	Location
Flint House – Dog Park – Community Gardens Driveway	From Elliott Street to the Village of Scotia

C Maximum speed limit of 40 miles per hour is hereby established within the Town of Glenville on the following county highways:

**Repeal:**

Name of Street	Location
Rector Road (Town Road) [Added 10-4-1989 by L.L. No. 4-1989]	From Ridge Road to New York State Route No. 5

<b>Ayes:</b>	Councilman Martin, Aragosa, Godlewski, Councilwoman Wierzbowski and Supervisor Koetzle
<b>Noes:</b>	None
<b>Absent:</b>	None
<b>Abstentions:</b>	None

**Motion Carried**

**RESOLUTION NO. 115-2021**

**Moved by:** Councilman Martin  
**Seconded by:** Councilwoman Wierzbowski

**WHEREAS**, on or about February 10, 2021, the Town of Glenville issued a request for proposals seeking proposals for services in connection with the Town of Glenville Tree Inventory Project; and

**WHEREAS**, the Project would generally consist of an inventory of trees located within the public right of way in the suburban and urban sections of the Town and the development of a Community Forest Management Plan for the maintenance of existing trees and future plantings; and

**WHEREAS**, the Town of Glenville received eight (8) proposals listed below submitted on March 5, 2021; and

ACRT, Inc.	\$53,785.00
ArborPro, Inc.	\$45,375.00
Davey Resource Group, Inc.	\$38,800.00
Greenfire Forestry & Wildlife Services	\$29,347.00
LBSecological	\$19,750.00
Duntemann Urban Forestry, LLC	\$29,276.00
PlanIT Geo	\$20,000.00
Russell Tree Experts	\$260,160.00

**WHEREAS**, a motion was made and seconded at the April 21, 2021 Town Board meeting to accept the bid of Davey Resource Group, Inc.; and

**WHEREAS**, after the motion was seconded at the April 21, 2021 Town Board meeting the Town Attorney directed that the motion should be tabled; and

**WHEREAS**, the Town Board voted unanimously to table the motion to accept the bid of Davey Resource Group, Inc.; and

**WHEREAS**, upon review of the proposal and the bids received, there was an error in the proposal as to the work to be performed requiring the process to be rebid; and

**WHEREAS**, the Town of Glenville reserves the right to reject any and all proposals that are not in the best interest of the Town; and

**WHEREAS**, because of the error in the proposal as to the work to be performed, the Town of Glenville staff believe the best direction for the Project is to revise the proposal for the Project and then to re-bid the Project based on the revisions; and

**WHEREAS**, the Town of Glenville staff believe that rejecting all bids, revising the proposal and then re-bidding the Project would bring the best pricing for the Project.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Town Board of the Town of Glenville hereby rejects all bids for the Town of Glenville Tree Inventory Project and directs the Town of Glenville staff to effectuate the revisions of the Project's design and specifications and to then re-bid the Project.

**Ayes:** Councilmen Martin, Aragosa, Godlewski, Councilwoman Wierzbowski and Supervisor Koetzle  
**Noes:** None  
**Absent:** None  
**Abstention:** None

**Motion Carried**

**RESOLUTION NO. 116-2021**

**Moved by:** Councilwoman Wierzbowski  
**Seconded by:** Councilman Martin

**WHEREAS**, there currently exists a vacancy in the position of Road Maintenance Supervisor in the Glenville Highway Department; and

**WHEREAS**, Daniel VanHeusen has been employed by the Town of Glenville Highway Department since 2004 and has held the position of Motor Equipment Operator-Heavy since 2018; and

**WHEREAS**, the Highway Superintendent recommends Daniel VanHeusen be promoted to the position of Road Maintenance Supervisor; and

**WHEREAS**, Mr. VanHeusen meets the minimum requirements for the position; and

**WHEREAS**, the Town Comptroller advises that the recommended promotion can be absorbed within existing 2021 budgeted appropriations;

**NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that the Town Board of the Town of Glenville hereby provisionally appoints Daniel VanHeusen to the position of Road

Maintenance Supervisor effective May 24, 2021 at the hourly pay and benefits for such title as determined by the agreement between the Town and the CSEA Highway Employees Unit.

**Ayes:** Councilmen Martin, Aragosa, Godlewski, Councilwoman Wierzbowski and Supervisor Koetzle  
**Noes:** None  
**Absent:** None  
**Abstention:** None

**Motion Carried**

**RESOLUTION NO. 117-2021**

**Moved by:** Councilman Godlewski  
**Seconded by:** Councilman Aragosa

**BE IT RESOLVED**, that the minutes of regular Town Board meeting held on May 5, 2021 are hereby approved and accepted as entered.

**Ayes:** Councilmen Martin, Aragosa, Godlewski, Councilwoman Wierzbowski and Supervisor Koetzle  
**Noes:** None  
**Absent:** None  
**Abstentions:** None

**Motion Carried**

**RESOLUTION NO. 118-2021**

**Moved by:** Councilman Godlewski  
**Seconded by:** Councilman Aragosa

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the **Monthly Departmental Reports** for April, 2021 as received from the following:

Assessors Department  
Justice Department  
Police Department  
Receiver of Taxes  
Town Clerk's Office

be, and they hereby are accepted, approved for payment and ordered placed on file.

**Ayes:** Councilmen Martin, Aragosa, Godlewski, Councilwoman Wierzbowski and Supervisor Koetzle  
**Noes:** None  
**Absent:** None  
**Abstentions:** None

**Motion Carried**

**RESOLUTION NO. 119-2021**

**Moved by:** Councilwoman Wierzbowski  
**Seconded by:** Councilman Martin

**WHEREAS**, the Highway Superintendent of the Town of Glenville has solicited sealed competitive bids for the resurfacing of two separate locations in the Town, said bids being returnable to the Town Clerk's Office by 10:00 AM on May 4, 2021 to be publicly opened and read at that time and date; and

**WHEREAS**, the first location is the Glen Oaks area: Heritage Pkwy, Hampshire Ct., Hampshire CDS, Hillside Dr., Yorkshire Ct., Laury Ln., Dalton Rd., Farley Pl., Somerset Ln., Hillside Ct., Hillside CDS, Haviland Dr., Boyle Rd., Doris Dr., and Anita Dr., and the second location is the Indian Hills area: Cherokee Rd., Tomahawk Trail, Seminole Rd., Cheyenne Rd., Tecumseh Way, Tecumseh Way CDS, Arapaho Path, and Iroquois Path; and

**WHEREAS**, Empire Paving of Schenectady, Inc., 1900 Duanesburg Rd., Duanesburg, New York 12056 submitted the low bid of \$256,523.85 for the first location and has met all bid specifications; and

**WHEREAS**, Empire Paving of Schenectady, Inc., 1900 Duanesburg Rd., Duanesburg, New York 12056 also submitted the low bid of \$135,135.00 for the second location and has met all bid specifications; and

**WHEREAS**, the Town of Glenville believes that the first location is economically feasible at this time and there is need for the work to be performed; and

**WHEREAS**, the Town of Glenville believes that the second location is not economically feasible at this time; and

**WHEREAS**, it is recommended that the Town Board accept the bid of Empire Paving of Schenectady, Inc. on the first location at \$256,523.85 and reject all bids on the second location due to budget constraints; and

**WHEREAS**, funding for the paving of the Glen Oaks area is available within the 2021 Amended Budget;

**NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that the Town Board of the Town of Glenville hereby accepts the bid of Empire Paving of Schenectady, Inc. as the lowest qualified bidder on the first location, and authorizes the Highway Superintendent to enter into an agreement with Empire Paving of Schenectady, Inc. for the resurfacing of the first location in the Town in the sum of \$256,523.85 for the first location and rejects all bids on the second location due to budget constraints.

**Ayes:** Councilmen Martin, Aragosa, Godlewski, Councilwoman Wierzbowski and Supervisor Koetzle  
**Noes:** None  
**Absent:** None  
**Abstention:** None

### **Motion Carried**

#### **New Business**

Councilman Godlewski – “Grievance Day is on May 25<sup>th</sup>. The Board of Assessment Review will meet between the hours of 9:00 - 12:00, 1:00 – 5:00 and 6:00 – 8:00. You have the availability to appear in person. You need to reach out to the Assessor’s Office to schedule an appointment in advance.

To piggyback on some of Councilwoman’s comments about the fire that happened over on Sunnyside Road. I not sure if this is connected or not but I had received a complaint from a resident about activity going on late at night over there (cutting logs) etc. going past the 7:00 PM cutoff time. Just a reminder specifically to corporations doing business in residential neighborhoods to abide by that 7:00 PM curfew.”

Councilwoman Wierzbowski – “I would like to recognize the firefighters in our Town and all of the EMS providers. This week is EMS week nationally where we recognize all of the certified first responders, the emergency medical technicians. The

paramedics that work in our communities. This year has been very challenging and very discouraging at times. I would say that the EMS community is stronger for all of us having to ban together. I would like to wish all of my fellow EMS providers a very happy entity.”

Supervisor Koetzle – “The only thing I have and Councilwoman Wierzbowski was talking about it and since we passed the paving plan for this year. It is a difficult thing, Tom and I and the boards sit down every year and we kind of figure out what we can do and where it is needed. We understand the need is great. We are not just saying with this resolution only Glen Oaks needs it. We know Indian Hills needs it; we know many other streets need it. We do what we can and as Councilman Martin talked about the reserves that’s important because now we can do more then we have done in the past. I want to thank Tom Coppola and Dana Gilgore their work of putting together their work with an intern through Cornell and I thank Melissa Cherubino for working on this one and putting together a program where we are going to have an intern actually map and provide a report of the conditions of our roads. We are investing in technology for this, we are investing in giving this person all of the tools they need. This will be the first professional mapping of our roads, and that will give us a five (5) year plan based on the conditions of our roads. We have never had that type of expertise at our fingertips. I want to thank you guys for putting that together. It is going to be helpful for us.”

Supervisor Koetzle asked for a motion to adjourn; Moved by Councilman Martin; Seconded by Councilman Aragosa, everyone being in favor the meeting was adjourned at 7:50 PM.

ATTEST:

---

Linda C. Neals  
Town Clerk